State Abstractions

Notations and Setup

 (χ, γ)

> (X, M)

- MDP $M = (S, A, P, R, \gamma)$
- Abstraction $\phi: S \rightarrow S_{\phi}$
- Surjection aggregate states and treat as equivalent
- Are the aggregated states really equivalent?
- Do they have the same...
 - optimal action?
 - Q* values?
 - dynamics and rewards?

Outline of the lecture

- 1. Define various notions/criteria of abstractions
- 2. Study their relationships
- 3. Analyze how to use them (e.g., building an abstract model) in planning and learning
 - Abstract model will also appear in 1 & 2



Theorem: Model-irrelevance $\Rightarrow Q^*$ -irrelevance $\Rightarrow \pi^*$ -irrelevance



Abstraction induces an equivalence relation

- Reflexivity, symmetry, transitivity
- Equivalence notion is a canonical representation of abstraction (i.e., what symbol you associate with each abstract state doesn't matter; what matters is which states are aggregated together)
- Partition the state space into equivalence classes
- Coarsest bisimulation is unique (see proof in notes)
 - sketch: if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are both bisimulations, their *common coarsening* is also a bisimulation (two states are aggregated if they are aggregated under *either* ϕ_1 or ϕ_2)

1,23.

The abstract MDP implied by bisimulation ϕ is bisimulation: $R(s^{(1)}, a) = R(s^{(2)}, a)$, $P(x' \mid s^{(1)}, a) = P(x' \mid s^{(2)}, a)$ MDP $M_{\phi} = (S_{\phi}, A, P_{\phi}, R_{\phi}, \gamma)$ (s, α, γ, s') • For any $x \in S_{\phi}$, $a \in A$, $x' \in S_{\phi}$ • $R_{\phi}(x, a) = R(s, a)$ for any $s \in \phi^{-1}(x)$ • $P_{\phi}(x' \mid x, a) = P(x' \mid s, a)$ for any $s \in \phi^{-1}(x)$ No way to distinguish between the two routes: generate data \bullet {(*s*, *a*, *r*, *s'*)} compress ψ/ϕ compress w/ ϕ $\{(\phi(s), a, r, \phi(s'))\}$ M_{ϕ} generate data





Figure from: Ravindran & Barto. Approximate Homomorphisms: A framework for non-exact minimization in Markov Decision Processes. 2004.

[T]M

Definition 3 (Approximate abstractions). Given MDP $M = (S, A, P, R, \gamma)$ and state abstraction ϕ that operates on S, define the following types of abstractions:

- 1. ϕ is an ϵ_{π^*} approximate π^* -irrelevant abstraction, if there exists an abstract policy $\pi : S_{\phi} \to A$, such that $\|V_M^* V_M^{[\pi]_M}\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon_{\pi^*}$.
- 2. ϕ is an ϵ_{Q^*} -approximate Q^* -irrelevant abstraction if there exists an abstract Q-value function $f: S_{\phi} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $\|[f]_M Q_M^*\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon_{Q^*}$.
- 3. ϕ is an (ϵ_R, ϵ_P) -approximate model-irrelevant abstraction if for any $s^{(1)}$ and $s^{(2)}$ where $\phi(s^{(1)}) = \phi(s^{(2)}), \forall a \in \mathcal{A},$ $|B(s^{(1)}, a) - B(s^{(2)}, a)| \leq \epsilon_P, \qquad ||\Phi P(s^{(1)}, a) - \Phi P(s^{(2)}, a)|| \leq \epsilon_P, \qquad (3)$

$$|R(s^{(1)},a) - R(s^{(2)},a)| \le \epsilon_R, \quad \left\| \Phi P(s^{(1)},a) - \Phi P(s^{(2)},a) \right\|_1 \le \epsilon_P.$$
(3)

Useful notation: Φ is a $|\mathcal{S}_{\phi}| \times |\mathcal{S}|$ matrix, with $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) = \mathbb{I}[\phi(\mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{x}]$

- lifting a state-value function: $[V_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M} = \Phi^{\top}V_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}$
- collapsing the transition distribution: $\Phi P(s, a) \leftarrow$



Theorem 2. (1) If ϕ is an (ϵ_R, ϵ_P) -approximate model-irrelevant abstraction, then ϕ is also an approximate Q^* -irrelevant abstraction with approximation error $\epsilon_{Q^*} = \frac{\epsilon_R}{1-\gamma} + \frac{\gamma \epsilon_P R_{\max}}{2(1-\gamma)^2}$. (2) If ϕ is an ϵ_{Q^*} -approximate Q^* -irrelevant abstraction, then ϕ is also an approximate π^* -irrelevant abstraction with approximation error $\epsilon_{\pi^*} = 2\epsilon_{Q^*}/(1-\gamma)$.

- (2) follows directly from a known result; can you see?
- Construct the *f* in the definition of approx. Q*-irrelevance:

 ϕ is an ϵ_{Q^*} -approximate Q^* -irrelevant abstraction if there exists an abstract Q-value function $f: S_{\phi} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $\|[f]_M - Q_M^*\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon_{Q^*}$.

• Define $M_{\phi} = (S_{\phi}, A, P_{\phi}, R_{\phi}, \gamma)$ w/ any weighting distributions $\{p_x : x \in S_{\phi}\}$, where each p_x is supported on $\phi^{-1}(x)$

$$R_{\phi}(x, a) = \sum_{s \in \phi^{-1}(x)} p_x(s) R(s, a), \quad P_{\phi}(x, a) = \sum_{s \in \phi^{-1}(x)} p_x(s) \Phi P(s, a).$$

$$|R_{\phi}(\phi(s), a) - R(s, a)| \le \varepsilon_R, \quad |P_{\phi}(\phi(s), a) - \Phi P(s, a)|| \le \varepsilon_P.$$

• Set $f := Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}$, bound $||[f]_{M} - Q_{M}^{\star}||_{\infty}$

 $\| \begin{bmatrix} Q_{My}^{*} \end{bmatrix}_{M}^{*} - Q_{M}^{*} \|_{\infty} = \| g - Tg + Tg - TQ^{*} \|_{\infty}$ $= \| g - Tg + Tg - TQ^{*} \|_{\infty}$ $= \| g - Tg + Tg - TQ^{*} \|_{\infty}$ $= \| g - Tg \|_{\infty} = \| g - Tg \|_{\infty}$ $= \| g - Tg \|_{\infty}$ $= \| g - Tg \|_{\infty}$ $= \| g - Q_{My}^{*} \|_{\infty}$ $= \frac{1}{1-8} \left[\left[\left[\operatorname{Tm}_{\varphi} Q_{\mathsf{M}_{\varphi}}^{*} \right]_{\mathsf{M}} - \operatorname{Tm}_{\mathsf{M}} \left[Q_{\mathsf{M}_{\varphi}}^{*} \right]_{\mathsf{M}} \right] \right]_{\mathsf{OO}} \right]_{\mathsf{OO}}$ \forall (s,a). $(\mathcal{T}_{M_{f}} \mathcal{Q}_{M_{f}}^{\star})(\phi(s), \alpha) - \mathcal{T}_{M} [\mathcal{Q}_{M_{f}}]_{M}(s, \alpha))$ $= \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{R}(\phi(s), q) + \gamma < \mathcal{R}_{\phi}(\phi(s), q), \end{array} \right)_{M_{\phi}}^{K} - \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\phi}(\phi(s), q)}{\mathcal{R}_{\phi}} \right)_{M_{\phi}}^{K} - \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\phi}(\phi(s), q)}{\mathcal{R}_{\phi}} + \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\phi}(\phi(s), q)}{\mathcal{R}_{\phi}} \right)_{M_{\phi}}^{K} - \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\phi}(\phi(s), q)}{\mathcal{R}_{\phi}} + \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\phi}(\phi(s), q)}{\mathcal{R}_{\phi}} +$ $= R(s,c) + \gamma < P(s,c), [V_{m_{\phi}}^{*}] > R(s,c) + \gamma H [m_{ex}Q(s,c)] +$ $\leq \epsilon_{R} + \delta_{X}$ $\varsigma' \rightarrow \max_{\alpha'} Q(\varsigma', \varsigma')$ $\langle P_{\phi}(\phi(s), a) \rangle, \forall \mathcal{M}_{\phi} \rangle = \langle P(s, a) \rangle \overline{\Phi}^{T} \vee \mathcal{M}_{\phi} \rangle \rangle.$ $\mathbb{P}(s,c), \mathbb{V}_{mp}^{K} > < u, Av = u^{T}A$ Ep. Ving $= \langle A^{T} v, v \rangle.$



Outline of the lecture

- 1. Define various notions/criteria of abstractions
- 2. Study their relationships
- 3. Analyze how to use them (e.g., building an abstract model) in planning and learning
 - e.g., plan in M_{ϕ} to reduce computational cost
 - If ϕ is not exact bisimulation, what's sub-optimality as a function of (ε_R , ε_P)? (Partially answered; will take a closer look)
 - What if φ is only approximately Q*-irrelevant? Is the abstract model still useful? Can we still bound loss as a function of ε_{Q*}?
 - Learning setting?

• Recall: M_{ϕ} defined using any weighting distributions $\{p_x\}$ satisfies $|R_{\phi}(\phi(s), a) - R(s, a)| \le \varepsilon_R$, $||P_{\phi}(\phi(s), a) - \Phi P(s, a)||_1 \le \varepsilon_P$.

Loss of $\pi^{\star}_{M_{\phi M}}$: approx. bisimulation

- Apply earlier Theorem: $\left\|V_{M}^{\star} V_{M}^{[\pi_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2\epsilon_{R}}{(1-\gamma)^{2}} + \frac{\gamma\epsilon_{P}R_{\max}}{(1-\gamma)^{3}}$
- Can improve: $\left\| V_M^{\star} V_M^{[\pi_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_M} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2\epsilon_R}{1-\gamma} + \frac{\gamma\epsilon_P R_{\max}}{(1-\gamma)^2}$
- Idea: for any $\pi: S_{\phi} \to A$, $\left\| [V_{M_{\phi}}^{\pi}]_{M} V_{M}^{[\pi]_{M}} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\epsilon_{R}}{1-\gamma} + \frac{\gamma \epsilon_{P} R_{\max}}{2(1-\gamma)^{2}}$
- Finally,

$$V_{M}^{\star}(s) - V_{M}^{[\pi_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M}}(s) = V_{M}^{\star}(s) - V_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}(\phi(s)) + V_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}(\phi(s)) - V_{M}^{[\pi_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M}}(s)$$
$$\leq \left\| Q_{M}^{\star} - [Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M} \right\|_{\infty} + \left\| [V_{M_{\phi}}^{\pi_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}}]_{M} - V_{M}^{[\pi_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M}} \right\|_{\infty}$$

• Lesson: w/ approx. bisimulation, take the $\max_{\pi} \|V_M^{\pi} - V_{\widehat{M}}^{\pi}\|_{\infty}$ route instead of the $\|Q_M^{\star} - Q_{\widehat{M}}^{\star}\|$ route to save dependence on horizon



Loss of
$$\pi^{\star}_{M_{\phi}M}$$
: approx. Q*-irrelevance

- M_{ϕ} well defined, but transitions/rewards don't make sense
- Can still show: $\|[Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M} Q_{M}^{\star}\|_{\infty} \leq 2\epsilon_{Q^{\star}}/(1-\gamma)$
- Exact case ($\epsilon_{Q^{\star}}=0$): $\forall s^{(1)}, s^{(2)}$ where $\phi(s^{(1)})=\phi(s^{(2)})$

 $R(s^{(1)}, a) + \gamma \langle P(s^{(1)}, a), V_M^{\star} \rangle = Q^{\star}(s^{(1)}, a) = Q^{\star}(s^{(2)}, a) = R(s^{(2)}, a) + \gamma \langle P(s^{(2)}, a), V_M^{\star} \rangle$

"inverse" of lifting (can only be applied to piece-wise constant functions)

So:
$$(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\phi}}[Q_{M}^{\star}]_{\phi})(x,a) = R_{\phi}(x,a) + \gamma \langle P_{\phi}(x,a), [V_{M}^{\star}]_{\phi} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{s \in \phi^{-1}(x)} p_{x}(s) \left(R(s,a) + \gamma \langle \Phi P(s,a), [V_{M}^{\star}]_{\phi}\right))$$

$$= \sum_{s \in \phi^{-1}(x)} p_{x}(s) \left(R(s,a) + \gamma \langle P(s,a), V_{M}^{\star}\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{s \in \phi^{-1}(x)} p_{x}(s) \left[Q_{M}^{\star}\right]_{\phi}(x,a) = [Q_{M}^{\star}]_{\phi}(x,a).$$

[Q_M_]_m = Q_M fixed point of T_M => Q_M (compressed) =: [Q_M_]g. is fixed point of T_M_g. $\left[\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}} \right]_{\phi} (x, \alpha) = \frac{2}{2} \left[\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}} \right]_{\phi} (x, \alpha).$ $= \frac{R\phi(x, \omega) + \partial \langle P_{\phi}(x, \omega), [V_{M}]_{\phi}}{\sum_{s \in \phi^{-1}(x)} \frac{P_{x}(s)}{r} (R(s, \omega) + \partial \langle \varphi P(s, \omega), [V_{M}]_{\phi}^{*})}$ $= \sum_{s \in f'(x)} f_{x}(s) \left(\frac{R(s,a) + \gamma < P(s,a)}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right).$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}^{\star}(\mathbf{S},\mathbf{c}).$

Loss of
$$\pi^{\star}_{M_{\phi}M}$$
: approx. Q*-irrelevance

- Approximate case: proof breaks as Q_M^* not piece-wise constant
- Workaround: define a new model M_{ϕ} over S $R'_{\phi}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{s} \sim p_{\phi(s)}}[R(\tilde{s}, a)], \qquad P'_{\phi}(s'|s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{s} \sim p_{\phi(s)}}[P(s'|\tilde{s}, a)].$
- Can show: M_{ϕ} and M_{ϕ} ' share the same Q^* (up to lifting)

•
$$\left\| [Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M} - Q_{M}^{\star} \right\|_{\infty} = \left\| Q_{M_{\phi}^{\star}}^{\star} - Q_{M}^{\star} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left\| \mathcal{T}_{M_{\phi}^{\star}} Q_{M}^{\star} - Q_{M}^{\star} \right\|_{\infty}$$
$$\left| (\mathcal{T}_{M_{\phi}^{\star}} Q_{M}^{\star})(s, a) - Q_{M}^{\star}(s, a) \right|$$
$$= \left| R_{\phi}^{\prime}(s, a) + \gamma \langle P_{\phi}^{\prime}(s, a), V_{M}^{\star} \rangle - Q_{M}^{\star}(s, a) \right|$$
$$= \left| \left(\sum_{\tilde{s}: \phi(\tilde{s}) = \phi(s)} p_{x}(\tilde{s}) \left(R(\tilde{s}, a) + \gamma \langle P(\tilde{s}, a), V_{M}^{\star} \rangle \right) \right) - Q_{M}^{\star}(s, a) \right|$$
$$= \left| \sum_{\tilde{s}: \phi(\tilde{s}) = \phi(s)} p_{x}(\tilde{s}) \left(Q_{M}^{\star}(\tilde{s}, a) - Q_{M}^{\star}(s, a) \right) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{\tilde{s}: \phi(\tilde{s}) = \phi(s)} p_{x}(\tilde{s})(2\epsilon_{Q^{\star}}) \right| = 2\epsilon_{Q^{\star}}$$

Loss of
$$\pi^{\star}_{M_{\phi}M}$$
: approx. Q*-irrelevance

- Lesson: with Q*-irrelevance, the $\max_{\pi} \|V_M^{\pi} V_{\widehat{M}}^{\pi}\|_{\infty}$ approach is not available; $\|Q_M^{\star} Q_{\widehat{M}}^{\star}\|$ is the only choice
- If ϕ does not respect transition/reward, our analysis does not have to either!

Recap

- Theorem 2. (1) If φ is an (ε_R, ε_P)-approximate model-irrelevant abstraction, then φ is also an approximate Q^{*}-irrelevant abstraction with approximation error ε_{Q^{*}} = (ε_R)/(1-γ)².
 (2) If φ is an ε_{Q^{*}}-approximate Q^{*}-irrelevant abstraction, then φ is also an approximate π^{*}-irrelevant abstraction with approximation error ε_{π^{*}} = 2ε_{Q^{*}}/(1 γ).
- Given weighting distributions $\{p_x\}$, define $M_{\phi} = (S_{\phi}, A, P_{\phi}, R_{\phi}, \gamma)$ $R_{\phi}(x, a) = \sum_{s \in \phi^{-1}(x)} p_x(s) R(s, a), P_{\phi}(x, a) = \sum_{s \in \phi^{-1}(x)} p_x(s) \Phi P(s, a).$
- How lossy is it to plan in M_{ϕ} and lift back to *M*?
 - If approx. bisimulation, use " $\max_{\pi} \|V_M^{\pi} V_{\widehat{M}}^{\pi}\|_{\infty}$ " type analysis $\left\|V_M^{\star} V_M^{[\pi_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_M}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2\epsilon_R}{1-\gamma} + \frac{\gamma\epsilon_P R_{\max}}{(1-\gamma)^2}$
 - If approx. Q*-irrelevance, use " $\|Q_M^{\star} Q_{\widehat{M}}^{\star}\|$ " type analysis

$$V_M^{\star} - V_M^{[\pi_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_M} \bigg\|_{\infty} \le \frac{2\epsilon_{Q^{\star}}}{(1-\gamma)^2}$$

Compare abstract model w/ bisimulation vs w/ Q*-irrelevance

Both guarantee optimality (exact case), but in different ways

- Consider value iteration (VI) in true model vs abstract model
- Bisimulation: every step of abstract VI resembles that step in true VI, throughout all iterations, b/c $\forall f : \phi(S) \to \mathbb{R}, \ \mathcal{T}[f]_M = [\mathcal{T}_{M_{\phi}}f]_M$
- Q*-irrelevance: abstract VI initially behaves crazily. It only starts to resemble true VI when the function is close to Q_M^*
 - This is a circular argument

 $\mathcal{T}Q_M^\star = [\mathcal{T}_{M_\phi}[Q_M^\star]_\phi]_M$

- Secret is stability—contraction of abstract Bellman update
- Abstract Bellman update is a special case of projected Bellman update, and in general stability is not guaranteed. In that case, "Q*-irrelevance" alone is not enough to guarantee optimality

The learning setting

- Given: $D = \{D_{s,a}\}_{(s,a)\in\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{A}}$ and ϕ
- Algorithm: CE after processing data w/ ϕ
- Shouldn't assume $|D_{s,a}|$ is the same for all (s, a)
 - ... as we want to handle $|D| \ll |S|$
 - What should appear in the bound to describe sample size? $n_{\phi}(D) := \min_{x \in S_{\phi}, a \in \mathcal{A}} |D_{x,a}|, \text{ where } D_{x,a} := \bigcup_{s \in \phi^{-1}(x)} D_{s,a}.$
 - At the mercy of data to be exploratory

The learning setting

- Analysis varies according to whether ϕ is (approx.) bisimulation or Q*-irrelevant and the style $(\max_{\pi} ||V_M^{\pi} V_{\widehat{M}}^{\pi}||_{\infty} \text{ vs } ||Q_M^{\star} Q_{\widehat{M}}^{\star}||)$
- Will show analysis of Q*-irrelevance (can only use " $||Q_M^* Q_{\widehat{M}}^*||$ ")
- Let \widehat{M}_{ϕ} be the estimated model
- Let M_{ϕ} be an abstract model w/ weighting distributions $p_x(s) \propto |D_{s,a}|$
- M_{ϕ} is the "expected model" of \widehat{M}_{ϕ}

•
$$\left\|Q_{M}^{\star}-[Q_{\widehat{M}_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \left\|Q_{M}^{\star}-[Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M}\right\|_{\infty} + \left\|[Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M}-[Q_{\widehat{M}_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M}\right\|_{\infty}$$

Approximation error

- "Bias", informally
- Doesn't vanish with more data
- Smaller with a finer ϕ (not w/ bisimulation; we will see why...)

Estimation error

- "Variance", informally
- Goes to 0 w/ infinite data
- Smaller with a coarser ϕ

$$\begin{split} \left\| Q_{M}^{\star} - [Q_{\widehat{M}_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M} \right\|_{\infty} &\leq \left\| Q_{M}^{\star} - [Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M} \right\|_{\infty} + \left\| [Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M} - [Q_{\widehat{M}_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M} \right\|_{\infty} \\ & \text{already handled} \qquad \text{to be analyzed} \end{split}$$

- Reusing the analysis for $||Q_M^{\star} Q_{\widehat{M}}^{\star}||$
- Challenge: data is not generated from M_{ϕ}
- Leverage the fact that Hoeffding can be applied to r.v.'s with nonidentical distributions

$$\begin{split} \left\| [Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M} - [Q_{\widetilde{M}_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M} \right\|_{\infty} &= \left\| Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star} - Q_{\widetilde{M}_{\phi}}^{\star} \right\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left\| Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star} - \mathcal{T}_{\widetilde{M}_{\phi}} Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star} \right\|_{\infty} = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left\| \mathcal{T}_{\widetilde{M}_{\phi}} Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star} - \mathcal{T}_{M_{\phi}} Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star} \right\|_{\infty} \\ &= \left| (\mathcal{T}_{\widetilde{M}_{\phi}} Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star})(x, a) - (\mathcal{T}_{M_{\phi}} Q_{M_{\phi}}^{\star})(x, a) \right| \\ &= |\widehat{R}_{\phi}(x, a) + \gamma \langle \widehat{P}_{\phi}(x, a), V_{M_{\phi}}^{\star} \rangle - R_{\phi}(x, a) - \gamma \langle P_{\phi}(x, a), V_{M_{\phi}}^{\star} \rangle | \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{|D_{x,a}|} \sum_{s \in \phi^{-1}(x)} \sum_{(r,s') \in D_{s,a}} \left(r + \gamma V_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}(\phi(s')) - R(s, a) - \gamma \langle P(s, a), [V_{M_{\phi}}^{\star}]_{M} \rangle \right) \right| \end{split}$$